

Introduction

This paper emerges from a doctoral research study examining flea market trading activities in the Central Business District of Gweru, the capital of Zimbabwe Midlands province, a city with a projected population of approximately 198,200 in 2024 (Worldometer, 2024). The study focused exclusively on licensed flea market traders, deliberately excluding unlicensed traders and hawkers, who likely outnumber their licensed counterparts. The participants operated from small stalls located in various sites owned either by the city council or private individuals.

As a qualitative study, data collection was conducted through unstructured interviews, recorded using a digital audio recorder. The interview data were subsequently analysed using NVivo 12, a qualitative data analysis software. The study employed a grounded theory methodology, which, as defined by its founders, seeks “the discovery of theory from data—systematically obtained and analysed” (Glaser & Strauss, 1967, p. 1). Grounded theory analysis is a detailed, progressing word by word and sentence by sentence, ensuring fidelity to the themes and concerns emerging directly from the data. The analytic process begins with preliminary (or initial) coding, advances through selective coding, and concludes with theoretical coding (Chen et al., 2024).

A key challenge in qualitative research is the transcription process, particularly when working with unstructured interviews and indigenous languages where digital transcription software may not support. Researchers frequently encounter issues related to accuracy, time consumption, and the potential for bias in manual transcription (Irshad et al., 2024; Ko et al., 2024). The use of transcription in grounded theory research, which requires an in-depth, iterative analysis, further amplifies these challenges. Recent studies have highlighted the need for methodological adaptations, such as partial transcription, to enhance efficiency without compromising the depth of analysis (O'Brien et al., 2025).

Moreover, qualitative researchers must navigate ethical concerns related to data accuracy and participant confidentiality. Advances in AI-based transcription tools have shown promise in overcoming some of these challenges, yet their effectiveness remains limited for lesser-known languages (Blonda et al., 2024).